Memorandum

Date:

May 10, 2010

To:

Office of Inspections

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9857.16472

Subject:

WESTMINSTER AREA'S RESPONSE TO FISCAL CONTROLS INSPECTION

Attached is Westminster Area's Exceptions response to the Fiscal Controls Inspection recently conducted by Departmental personnel.

The Area commander has closely reviewed the findings and recommendations contained within the final report and concurs with the evaluator's findings.

I concur with the commander's actions in this matter and am satisfied with the report's findings.

G. A. DOMINGUEZ, Chief

Attachments

cc: Westminster Area

Page

Remarks:

□ N/A

1 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

Command:	Division:	Number:
Westminster	Border	4
Evaluated by:		Date:
Lt. R. Shackleford, #15878		5/3/10
Assisted by:		Date:
OSS I J. Moore, #A8551		5/3/10

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statutes, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION Command Level ☐ Division Level □ Voluntary Self-Inspection Office of Inspections Commander Signature: Follow-up Required: 5-3-10 ☐ Follow-Up Inspection \bowtie No Yes For applicable policies, refer to State Administrative Manual (SAM), HPM 11.1, Chapter 4, and HPM 11.2, Chapter 2. Note: A "Yes" response indicates full compliance with policy. If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. Is management actively involved in reviewing and Remarks: Commander ensures a ☐ No □ N/A approving paperwork related to receiving and quarterly audit is conducted as well preparing collections? as reviews and approves the CHP 230. 2. Does the command have Standard Operating Remarks: □ N/A ⊠ Yes □No Procedures (SOP) to provide necessary guidelines for overall management and accountability of receiving and preparing collections? 3. Does the command have adequate separation of Remarks: ☐ No □ N/A duties for collections received? 4. Does the command have adequate separation of Remarks: ✓ Yes □No □ N/A duties for the cash receipt process? 5. Is access to the safe and/or vault appropriately Remarks: □ N/A ☐ No restricted? 6. Does a record exist which identifies who has access Remarks: A memorandum will be ☐ Yes ⊠ No □ N/A to the safe and/or vault and when changes in access prepared to Area documenting such occur? information. 7. Was the lock combination changed when an excess ⊠ N/A number of employees were aware of the ☐ Yes □No Keyed lock, no combination. combination, transferred out of the Area, or no longer required access? 8. Is the safe securely anchored to the building? Remarks: ☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A Command does not have a safe. 9. Are weekly transmittal reports prepared in Remarks: □ N/A □ No accordance with departmental policy? 10. Is the weekly transmittal report(s) submitted to Fiscal

☐ No

Management Section (FMS) within five working days

following the week covered by the report?

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

 Does the command submit the following forms with the weekly transmittal when applicable? CHP 265, Sale of Discarded Tires/Junk Batteries/Used Rotors. CHP 36, Evidence/Property Receipt/Report (Unclaimed Property). STD 634, Absence and Additional Time Worked Report, for jury duty. CHP 221, Malicious Damage Report. CHP 464, Traffic Control Cost Estimate – Advance Deposit. Civil subpoena. 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Is a memorandum for cash shortages prepared if necessary?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Does the command ensure the information written on the counter receipt is complete and legible?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14. Was a counter receipt issued for each witness fee deposit received?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Was a counter receipt issued for each movie, wide-load, and special event detail(s)?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16. Was a counter receipt issued for each sale, including the sale of discarded tires, junk batteries, used rotors, and other cash received?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17. Is sales tax added to items that are not for resale?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
18. Are all counter receipts pre-numbered and issued in numerical sequence?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19. Were transfers of counter receipt books/certificates between field commands reported on a CHP 266A, Credit Memo - Non- Equipment?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
20. Is the STD 439, Disbursement Voucher, properly authorized and completed to support expenditure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21. Are the CHP 264, Petty Cash Replenishment Requests, completed at least monthly if over \$10.00, quarterly if under \$10.00, and on June 30 of each fiscal year?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
22. Is the CHP 264 properly authorized?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23. Does the total amount of cash, receipts on hand, and receipts in transit equal the total of petty cash and change funds?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Verified.
24. Is there documentation to support periodic reviews of petty cash and change funds performed by the commander or designated person?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Journal/ledger.
25. Are overages and shortages of the petty cash funds reported to Fiscal Management Section?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

26. Were change funds used to cash checks, money orders or cashier/travelers checks?	⊠ Yes	, 🗌 No	□ N/A	Remarks:
27. Are change funds over \$100 and petty cash funds over \$200 kept in a safe, vault, or money chest adequate to safeguard cash?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Change fund = \$60.00 Petty cash = \$50.00
28. Are all petty cash purchases under \$50?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
29. Is petty cash used to purchase prohibited items?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Petty cash is only used to purchase approved items.
30. Did the command circumvent the dollar limitation by splitting the purchase?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31. Is a petty cash custodian designated by the commander?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Westminster	Border	4	
Inspected by:		Date:	
Lt. Shackleford	, #15878	5/3/10	

Page 1 of 4

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, cor	Inspection	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pra	I in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter at level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended inspection:	d on the	☑ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa			
Chapter Inspection: Chapter Inspector's Comments Regar None. Command Suggestions for S None.	ding Ir	nnovative Practices		
cash.				cess to the change fund and petty
Commander's Response:	Concu	ur or 🗌 Do Not Cor	ncur (Do Not Cond	cur shall document basis for response)
Based on the aforementioned	the A	rea has developed	a plan to addre	ess the concern.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Westminster	Border	4	
Inspected by:		Date:	
Lt. Shackleford, #15878		5/3/10	

Required Action	
Trequired Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

OSS I J. Moore, #A8551, will prepare a memorandum to Westminster Area identifying who has access to petty cash/change fund. This will be completed during the week of May 3, 2010.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	53.10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 5/3/10
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	S/14/10
CUID COOK (Part CO CO) CPI COO		

CHP 680A (Rev. 02-09) OPI 010

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 4

Command: Westminster	Division: Border	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Lt. Shackleford, #15878		Date: 5/3/10	

1